Application No. 09/914,994 Paper Dated: February 3, 2005

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of November 16, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 388-011500

REMARKS

Claims 12-15, 17-33 and 35-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for asserted failure to comply with the written description requirement in the use of "approximately" when referencing the range of "30% or lower". In addition, claims 12-14, 21 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over U.S. Patent No. 6,920,913 to Aoyama.

Claims 12-14, 21 and 35 have been cancelled. Of the remaining claims, claims 15 and 36 are amended to delete the term "approximately" to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

As such, remaining claims 15, 17-20, 22-33 and 36 are believed to define over the prior art of record and be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

Bv

Julie W. Meder

Registration No. 36,216

Attorney for Applicants
700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-1818

Telephone: 412-471-8815 Facsimile: 412-471-4094